


Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



aSB249
.A3

AUG 18 2013

ENTERED IN THE COMPUTER

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED

1955 - 1959

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service
Cotton Division

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE
AUGUST 1959



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Cotton Division

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED
1955-59CONTENTS

	Table No.	Page No.
Introduction	1	1
Leading Varieties	1	1
United States Map	2	2
Varieties by States and United States	1	3
Varieties by States and Counties:		
Alabama		
Varieties by State	8	10
Varieties by Counties	9	11
Arizona		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Arkansas		
Varieties by State	15	16
Varieties by Counties	16	17
California		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Florida		
Varieties by State	23	26
Georgia		
Varieties by State	6	8
Varieties by Counties	7	9
Illinois		
Varieties by State	23	26
Kentucky		
Varieties by State	23	26
Louisiana		
Varieties by State	17	18
Varieties by Counties	18	19
Mississippi		
Varieties by State	10	12
Varieties by Counties	11	13
Missouri		
Varieties by State	23	26
Varieties by Counties	14	15
New Mexico		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
North Carolina		
Varieties by State	2	4
Varieties by Counties	3	5
Oklahoma		
Varieties by State	19	20
Varieties by Counties	20	21
South Carolina		
Varieties by State	4	6
Varieties by Counties	5	7
Tennessee		
Varieties by State	12	14
Varieties by Counties	13	15
Texas		
Varieties by State	21	22-23
Varieties by Counties	22	24-25
Texas-District 6		
Varieties by District	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Virginia		
Varieties by State	23	26

P. O. Box 8074, Crosstown Station

Memphis 4, Tennessee

August 1959

Cotton Varieties Planted, United States

1955-59

Estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1959, by counties, districts, and states, are presented in this report. Comparative variety data for the four years 1955-58 are shown by districts and states. A preliminary report containing percentage distributions of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas was released in mid-July.

The variety data presented herein are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginnerys for the territories served by their gins. Supplemental information is obtained from others closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents.

These estimates indicate that Acala and Deltapine remain the two leading varieties of cotton in the United States. Acala surpassed Deltapine in comparative importance for the first time on record. The next three varieties in their order of relative importance are Lankart, Coker and Delfos. A percentage distribution of the ten leading varieties in 1959 with comparisons for four previous years is shown at the foot of this page.

In the southeastern area of the belt, Coker continues to be the principal variety of cotton planted. In 1959, nearly all of the acreage in North Carolina and South Carolina was planted to this variety and over half of the acreage in Georgia is Coker. Empire and Deltapine are the two next most prominent varieties in the southeastern area.

Deltapine continues to be the leading variety in the south central area of the belt. Cotton acreage planted to this variety ranged from 90 percent in Louisiana to 26 percent in Missouri. Other varieties which comprise a fairly large proportion of acreage in some parts of the south central area are Delfos, Empire, Fox, Stoneville and Rex.

Lankart continues to be the leading variety in the southwestern area of the belt. Well over a third of the acreage is planted to this variety. Other major varieties in the southwestern area are Deltapine, Acala and Western Stormproof.

Acala continues to lead by a very wide margin in the far western area of the belt. Acreage planted to Deltapine continues to increase in Imperial and Riverside counties of California. Pima S-1 is the principal American-Egyptian variety with practically all of the extra long staple acreage planted in this variety.

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1955-59

Year	Acala	Coker	Delfos	Deltapine	Empire	Fox	Lankart	Northern Star	Stone-ville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1955	21	12	2	31	4	2	7	3	3	*	15	100
1956	17	13	4	34	5	2	10	3	3	1	8	100
1957	21	12	4	31	5	2	9	2	3	1	11	100
1958	25	10	3	25	6	1	13	3	2	2	10	100
1959	24	8	5	21	3	1	15	2	2	3	16	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

UNITED STATES Districts for Cotton Quality Reports





Table 1. United States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and United States, 1955-59

Less than 0.5 percent.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Coker 124	Delta-pine	Empire	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1							
1955	136	98	-	1	*	1	100
1956	76	97	-	1	2	*	100
1957	50	97	-	*	3	*	100
1958	38	96	-	1	3	*	100
1959	-	96	-	*	3	1	100
District 2							
1955	111	99	-	*	*	1	100
1956	157	99	-	1	*	-	100
1957	113	99	*	*	*	1	100
1958	146	97	1	1	1	*	100
1959	-	99	1	*	*	-	100
District 3							
1955	113	99	-	*	*	1	100
1956	133	99	-	*	*	1	100
1957	73	99	*	*	*	1	100
1958	77	97	1	*	1	1	100
1959	-	98	*	*	1	1	100
State							
1955	360	99	-	1	*	*	100
1956	366	98	-	1	1	*	100
1957	236	99	*	*	1	*	100
1958	261	97	1	1	1	*	100
1959	-	99	*	*	1	-	100

* Less than 0.5 percent

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Empire	Moore Special	Other 1/	Total
		1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Number							
District 1								
Anson	9	4.7	98	-	2	-	-	100
Cabarrus	5	1.0	100	-	-	-	-	100
Catawba	4	.3	81	-	19	-	-	100
Cleveland	23	13.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Iredell	7	2.4	84	-	16	-	-	100
Lincoln	7	2.4	99	-	1	-	-	100
Mecklenburg	5	2.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Rowan	3	.9	43	1	33	23	-	100
Rutherford	7	1.2	95	1	4	-	-	100
Stanley	4	.6	100	-	-	-	-	100
Union	14	6.4	99	-	1	-	-	100
All Other	5	1.3	78	*	22	-	-	100
Total	93	37.5	96	*	3	1	-	100
District 2								
Bertie	3	4.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Chowan	3	1.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Edgecombe	6	8.2	100	-	-	-	-	100
Franklin	8	4.7	99	1	-	-	-	100
Halifax	16	20.7	99	-	1	-	-	100
Hertford	3	4.5	92	-	4	4	-	100
Johnston	18	15.9	96	4	-	-	-	100
Nash	12	14.1	99	1	-	-	-	100
Northampton	16	20.6	99	-	-	1	-	100
Pitt	5	9.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Wake	7	2.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Warren	8	4.6	95	5	-	-	-	100
Wayne	10	13.3	100	-	-	-	-	100
Wilson	7	10.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	9	11.0	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	131	145.9	99	1	*	*	-	100
District 3								
Bladen	4	.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Cumberland	6	3.7	100	-	-	-	-	100
Harnett	12	12.5	97	-	2	1	-	100
Hoke	4	4.3	97	-	-	3	-	100
Lee	3	1.2	99	-	-	-	1	100
Richmond	3	.6	100	-	-	-	-	100
Robeson	25	26.3	98	-	-	-	2	100
Sampson	16	13.6	96	4	-	-	-	100
Scotland	9	9.7	97	-	-	3	-	100
All Other	6	4.7	97	-	3	-	-	100
Total	88	77.3	98	1	*	1	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1955	207	97	1	-	2	*	*	100
1956	101	99	*	-	1	-	*	100
1957	69	97	1	*	2	*	*	100
1958	57	95	1	2	1	1	*	100
1959	-	90	*	7	2	1	*	100
District 2								
1955	235	99	*	-	*	-	1	100
1956	279	99	-	-	*	-	1	100
1957	190	98	*	1	1	*	*	100
1958	163	97	-	1	1	-	1	100
1959	-	94	-	3	2	-	1	100
District 3								
1955	124	99	*	-	*	*	1	100
1956	142	99	-	-	*	1	*	100
1957	87	98	*	1	1	*	*	100
1958	82	93	-	5	1	1	*	100
1959	-	89	*	9	1	1	*	100
State								
1955	566	99	*	-	*	-	1	100
1956	522	99	*	-	*	*	1	100
1957	346	98	*	1	1	*	*	100
1958	302	96	*	2	1	*	1	100
1959	-	92	*	5	2	1	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Abbeville	5	3.3	51	-	47	2	-	-	100
Anderson	21	9.6	81	*	14	5	-	-	100
Cherokee	6	2.5	82	3	-	15	-	-	100
Chester	6	4.2	99	-	1	-	-	-	100
Edgefield	7	5.1	94	-	4	2	-	-	100
Fairfield	5	1.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Greenville	13	2.6	88	-	2	-	10	*	100
Greenwood	3	1.4	83	-	17	-	-	-	100
Laurens	12	5.9	89	-	5	*	6	-	100
McCormick	3	1.2	98	-	2	-	-	-	100
Newberry	6	2.9	94	-	*	6	*	*	100
Oconee	7	1.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pickens	7	.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Saluda	5	2.3	98	-	2	-	-	-	100
Spartanburg	18	3.4	95	1	-	4	-	-	100
Union	3	1.1	97	-	-	3	-	-	100
York	12	7.4	100	-	*	-	-	-	100
Total	139	56.6	90	*	7	2	1	*	100
District 2									
		Coker 100	Fox	Dixie King	Empire	Stone-ville	Other 1/	Total	
Chesterfield	11	9.2	92	-	3	3	-	2	100
Clarendon	11	21.5	94	-	3	3	-	-	100
Darlington	11	9.4	96	-	-	-	-	4	100
Dillon	10	10.2	85	3	8	-	-	4	100
Florence	16	22.0	95	-	2	3	-	-	100
Kershaw	4	3.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lancaster	6	3.6	94	-	6	-	-	-	100
Lee	14	24.1	99	-	-	1	-	-	100
Marion	3	3.7	99	-	1	*	-	-	100
Marlboro	14	14.4	94	1	2	2	-	1	100
Richland	4	2.1	92	-	8	-	-	-	100
Sumter	21	25.7	92	-	7	1	-	-	100
Williamsburg	9	13.1	98	-	1	1	-	-	100
All Other	2	1.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	136	163.6	94	*	3	2	-	1	100
District 3									
		Coker 100	Fox	Dixie King	Empire	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other 1/	Total	
Aiken	8	5.8	89	-	10	1	-	-	100
Allendale	3	3.8	93	-	7	-	-	-	100
Bamberg	4	5.6	93	-	7	-	-	-	100
Barnwell	7	6.0	90	-	10	*	-	-	100
Calhoun	6	7.8	81	-	9	-	10	-	100
Colleton	5	2.0	92	-	6	2	-	*	100
Dorchester	4	3.5	79	-	21	-	-	-	100
Hampton	6	3.5	96	-	4	-	-	-	100
Lexington	7	3.8	94	-	3	3	-	-	100
Orangeburg	26	37.4	89	1	9	1	-	*	100
All Other	2	2.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	78	81.5	89	*	9	1	1	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
1955	288	56	7	33	1	*	1	2	100
1956	199	57	7	33	1	*	1	1	100
1957	116	57	7	35	-	*	1	*	100
1958	85	57	7	35	-	*	-	1	100
1959	-	64	4	31	-	*	*	1	100
District 2									
1955	249	50	17	23	3	5	1	1	100
1956	231	47	14	29	1	7	1	1	100
1957	172	42	13	30	1	13	*	1	100
1958	160	46	10	31	1	10	*	2	100
1959	-	48	8	26	1	15	1	1	100
District 3									
1955	157	66	5	17	1	1	1	9	100
1956	149	69	6	17	*	1	1	6	100
1957	101	68	4	23	-	2	1	2	100
1958	104	71	3	20	-	2	1	3	100
1959	-	66	3	18	-	2	1	10	100
State									
1955	694	56	11	25	2	2	1	3	100
1956	579	57	9	28	1	3	1	1	100
1957	389	53	9	30	1	6	1	*	100
1958	349	57	7	29	1	5	*	1	100
1959	-	58	6	25	1	7	1	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.


1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Empire	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Bartow	4	9.1	78	-	20	-	2	-	100
Carroll	3	1.7	47	-	50	3	-	-	100
Coweta	3	2.9	24	1	74	-	-	1	100
Elbert	3	2.8	36	10	48	-	-	6	100
Floyd	3	2.3	67	13	11	2	-	7	100
Franklin	4	3.1	56	-	44	-	-	-	100
Gordon	3	3.6	43	2	55	-	-	-	100
Hancock	4	2.8	12	21	64	-	3	-	100
Hart	4	3.5	79	1	20	-	-	-	100
Jackson	3	2.9	28	-	72	-	-	-	100
Jasper	3	1.1	59	31	10	-	-	-	100
Madison	3	3.7	89	-	11	-	-	-	100
Meriwether	6	3.7	79	17	4	-	-	-	100
Morgan	10	4.3	85	3	11	-	-	1	100
Pike	3	1.9	71	-	29	-	-	-	100
Polk	3	2.2	49	-	50	1	-	-	100
Walton	5	5.5	88	9	3	-	-	-	100
Wilkes	3	.6	79	-	21	-	-	-	100
All Other	47	27.3	64	3	32	-	-	1	100
Total	117	85.0	64	4	31	*	*	1	100
District 2									
		Coker 100	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Other 1/	Total	
Bleckley	3	1.8	90	7	3	-	-	-	100
Brooks	4	5.2	56	7	25	-	12	-	100
Calhoun	3	4.2	74	-	16	8	2	-	100
Colquitt	8	20.4	26	4	32	2	36	-	100
Crisp	3	8.5	43	-	11	-	44	2	100
Dodge	7	3.4	50	5	36	-	8	1	100
Dooly	8	12.0	58	25	5	-	6	6	100
Irwin	3	5.1	15	-	80	-	3	2	100
Macon	5	5.2	64	3	11	6	1	15	100
Mitchell	5	9.6	75	-	25	*	-	-	100
Pulaski	4	3.9	48	23	21	-	8	-	100
Sumter	3	6.8	20	46	1	-	33	-	100
Taylor	5	5.8	45	-	44	-	-	11	100
Terrell	6	6.8	62	6	11	8	9	4	100
Thomas	3	5.0	51	-	17	-	32	-	100
Tift	4	7.3	46	4	23	-	26	1	100
Turner	4	4.9	20	15	49	-	16	-	100
Wilcox	5	3.8	75	-	15	-	10	*	100
Worth	5	7.6	38	5	39	-	18	-	100
All Other	34	33.1	52	7	33	2	6	-	100
Total	122	160.4	48	8	26	1	15	2	100
District 3									
		Coker 100	Delta-pine	Empire	Plains	Wannamaker All-In-One	Other 1/	Total	
Bulloch	5	9.3	78	-	22	-	-	-	100
Burke	9	18.9	65	-	2	-	30	3	100
Candler	3	5.1	63	-	32	-	-	5	100
Emanuel	5	6.3	52	-	37	3	2	6	100
Jefferson	5	8.9	87	4	5	-	-	4	100
Jenkins	3	4.2	83	-	12	-	-	5	100
Johnson	4	5.8	78	6	16	-	-	-	100
Laurens	7	9.4	41	19	22	16	-	2	100
Screven	5	6.4	56	-	28	-	-	16	100
Toombs	4	5.4	54	1	28	-	13	4	100
Washington	4	6.1	95	2	3	-	-	-	100
All Other	18	18.0	65	1	27	1	5	1	100
Total	72	103.8	66	3	18	2	7	4	100

* Less than .5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



ALABAMA
DISTRICTS FOR COTTON
QUALITY REPORTS

SCALE - STATUTE MILES

Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1955	485	-	15	30	-	29	1	12	11	2	100
1956	376	-	17	27	-	33	2	12	7	2	100
1957	268	*	16	27	*	35	2	10	7	3	100
1958	220	*	11	25	1	42	2	9	7	3	100
1959	-	1	11	20	11	40	2	11	4	-	100
District 2											
1955	843	*	59	29	-	3	1	4	1	3	100
1956	230	*	57	27	-	7	1	5	*	3	100
1957	160	1	52	28	1	7	*	9	1	1	100
1958	136	2	51	26	4	7	1	7	*	2	100
1959	-	4	50	18	13	5	*	8	*	2	100
District 3											
1955	210	*	65	7	-	4	-	13	*	11	100
1956	140	*	61	7	-	4	-	20	*	8	100
1957	99	1	51	6	*	8	*	24	*	10	100
1958	86	3	59	2	-	5	-	23	*	8	100
1959	-	2	53	2	1	7	-	26	-	9	100
State											
1955	1,038	*	41	24	-	15	1	10	5	4	100
1956	746	*	39	23	-	19	1	12	3	3	100
1957	527	1	34	24	*	21	1	12	4	3	100
1958	442	1	33	21	2	26	1	11	4	1	100
1959	-	2	31	16	9	23	1	13	2	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Blount	11	4.2	16	-	25	43	-	15	1	-	100
Cherokee	18	14.7	17	10	20	12	3	26	12	-	100
Colbert	9	9.7	-	61	7	28	-	2	-	2	100
Cullman	34	12.8	2	2	10	36	-	39	10	1	100
De Kalb	31	24.6	30	-	46	12	-	5	4	3	100
Etowah	11	5.1	37	2	9	17	-	24	1	10	100
Franklin	10	5.2	17	36	11	12	1	4	17	2	100
Jackson	16	10.3	10	5	4	75	-	5	-	1	100
Lauderdale	24	12.2	-	53	3	43	-	-	-	1	100
Lawrence	22	21.2	-	41	6	23	12	5	7	6	100
Limestone	26	30.7	2	9	-	82	3	1	2	1	100
Madison	28	32.7	10	25	3	60	-	1	-	1	100
Marion	7	3.5	3	56	1	28	5	6	1	-	100
Marshall	25	18.5	24	-	6	11	1	48	10	-	100
Morgan	24	14.6	3	37	6	43	-	4	6	1	100
Winston	8	1.1	8	5	3	31	-	48	-	5	100
Total	304	221.1	11	20	11	40	2	11	4	1	100
		Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 2											
Autauga	7	3.1	50	44	2	-	-	1	2	1	100
Calhoun	6	2.3	-	4	-	-	4	92	-	-	100
Chambers	5	4.2	-	45	12	39	2	2	-	-	100
Chilton	4	1.8	-	84	1	-	3	12	-	-	100
Clay	4	.7	-	64	-	-	28	8	-	-	100
Dallas	12	16.9	-	83	2	-	8	7	-	-	100
Elmore	6	6.8	-	86	11	2	1	-	-	-	100
Fayette	6	3.2	-	-	7	32	2	50	-	9	100
Greene	5	3.7	1	23	6	67	1	2	-	-	100
Hale	9	9.1	2	24	27	25	3	18	-	1	100
Lamar	6	3.4	-	15	45	13	4	20	1	2	100
Lowndes	9	5.8	18	54	-	-	28	-	-	-	100
Macon	7	9.4	11	83	-	5	-	-	-	1	100
Marengo	8	9.0	-	58	35	4	-	3	-	-	100
Montgomery	7	6.2	3	89	1	2	1	4	-	-	100
Perry	5	5.1	-	9	35	49	-	7	-	-	100
Pickens	10	6.7	1	5	58	35	-	1	-	-	100
Randolph	7	2.1	-	63	-	-	37	-	-	-	100
Russell	6	6.1	-	91	2	4	2	-	-	1	100
St. Clair	4	1.0	-	29	28	27	11	-	5	-	100
Shelby	3	4.5	-	2	58	7	32	1	-	-	100
Sumter	12	5.2	1	44	48	6	-	1	-	-	100
Talladega	6	3.6	-	18	16	31	35	-	-	-	100
Tallapoosa	4	1.7	7	87	-	5	-	-	-	1	100
Tuscaloosa	10	6.6	2	8	55	19	6	3	-	7	100
Walker	5	.9	-	23	7	-	14	54	1	1	100
All Other	15	6.4	2	70	3	10	10	4	1	-	100
Total	188	135.5	4	50	18	13	5	8	*	2	100
		Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Empire	Plains	Wannamaker All-in-One	Other 1/	Total	
District 3											
Barbour	6	3.1	2	73	-	-	15	10	-	-	100
Bullock	5	3.0	-	86	-	-	-	-	14	-	100
Butler	4	4.2	9	49	6	-	5	5	26	-	100
Coffee	5	5.1	4	32	9	-	10	43	2	-	100
Conecuh	5	4.2	-	50	-	11	1	27	11	-	100
Covington	5	5.8	5	52	2	1	5	21	14	-	100
Crenshaw	4	2.0	-	41	-	-	10	39	10	-	100
Dale	3	2.5	2	74	-	-	3	18	1	2	100
Escambia	3	4.4	2	93	1	-	9	32	24	-	100
Geneva	10	13.1	-	35	-	-	4	32	14	-	100
Henry	5	5.2	-	50	-	-	16	23	3	2	100
Houston	8	10.6	3	52	1	-	1	47	1	-	100
Monroe	7	9.4	-	47	4	-	2	19	-	-	100
Pike	5	2.9	15	64	-	-	6	31	-	-	100
Wilcox	7	6.7	-	55	7	1	-	7	-	-	100
All Other	2	3.4	-	88	5	-	-	7	-	-	100
Total	84	85.6	2	53	2	1	7	26	9	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1955	1,144	4	*	6	81	-	*	5	1	3	100
1956	894	2	-	6	81	-	*	5	1	5	100
1957	664	4	-	7	75	-	-	7	1	6	100
1958	615	6	-	5	79	*	-	5	2	3	100
1959	-	3	-	9	70	3	*	5	3	7	100
District 2											
1955	438	2	-	1	79	-	2	1	10	5	100
1956	370	4	*	1	76	-	3	1	9	6	100
1957	213	4	-	2	75	-	5	1	10	3	100
1958	223	5	-	2	78	*	4	*	8	3	100
1959	-	*	-	*	74	1	4	2	8	11	100
District 3											
1955	262	10	*	1	78	-	6	2	2	1	100
1956	196	10	*	*	80	-	6	1	2	1	100
1957	123	9	*	*	80	-	7	1	2	1	100
1958	82	15	*	*	76	*	6	1	2	*	100
1959	-	13	*	*	75	-	5	*	4	3	100
District 4											
1955	152	8	-	*	76	-	6	2	7	1	100
1956	117	12	-	*	74	-	7	2	4	1	100
1957	70	14	-	*	72	-	8	2	4	*	100
1958	35	13	-	*	74	-	8	1	4	*	100
1959	-	15	*	*	71	-	10	1	2	1	100
State											
1955	1,996	4	*	3	80	-	2	3	4	4	100
1956	1,577	4	*	4	80	-	2	3	3	4	100
1957	1,070	5	*	4	76	-	3	4	5	3	100
1958	955	5	*	3	79	*	2	3	2	6	100
1959	-	2	*	6	71	2	2	4	4	9	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Coker 100	Delfos	Delta-pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Bolivar	58	92.8	-	2	73	2	6	14	-	3	100
Coahoma	46	78.5	-	-	91	4	2	-	-	3	100
Holmes	23	26.8	9	4	65	-	2	2	7	11	100
Humphreys	16	33.2	-	44	38	1	-	-	-	17	100
Issaquena	3	4.7	2	3	40	-	-	50	-	5	100
Leflore	36	63.0	10	3	73	-	-	-	11	3	100
Quitman	24	38.2	-	-	86	-	-	2	-	12	100
Sharkey	15	20.9	12	21	15	-	-	40	8	4	100
Sunflower	41	86.2	-	-	75	8	13	2	-	2	100
Tallahatchie	26	35.5	-	4	88	-	1	-	3	4	100
Tunica	21	37.0	10	1	81	6	-	-	-	2	100
Washington	46	64.4	-	23	56	2	-	7	6	6	100
Yazoo	13	31.4	11	45	33	-	-	-	-	11	100
All Other	2	2.6	-	-	89	-	2	1	1	7	100
Total	370	615.2	3	9	70	3	3	5	3	4	100
District 2											
		Coker 124	Delta-pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Dixie King	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
Alcorn	6	8.3	5	61	-	1	20	-	7	6	100
Benton	5	7.7	5	61	-	-	22	-	6	6	100
Calhoun	10	9.2	1	41	-	7	1	1	45	4	100
Chickasaw	9	10.1	1	71	-	13	1	2	7	5	100
De Soto	19	25.0	3	67	10	-	7	4	4	5	100
Grenada	6	5.8	1	79	-	-	-	-	17	3	100
Itawamba	6	3.8	6	87	-	-	-	1	-	6	100
Lafayette	6	5.9	3	84	-	3	-	3	3	4	100
Lee	17	13.5	-	84	-	-	-	-	11	5	100
Marshall	16	25.1	2	71	-	-	6	1	15	5	100
Monroe	12	13.6	-	91	-	1	1	1	-	6	100
Panola	21	30.1	2	89	-	1	-	-	2	6	100
Pontotoc	9	8.8	-	67	-	19	3	-	5	6	100
Prentiss	9	9.7	12	69	-	8	5	-	1	5	100
Tate	10	20.3	-	87	2	-	1	1	1	8	100
Tippah	12	10.5	12	46	-	3	11	14	10	4	100
Tishomingo	5	3.3	-	68	-	5	3	-	6	18	100
Union	8	6.8	9	64	-	3	5	1	10	8	100
Yalobusha	4	5.9	-	84	-	2	-	-	2	12	100
Total	190	223.4	3	74	1	3	4	2	8	5	100
District 3											
		Coker 100	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
Attala	8	4.0	16	-	76	6	-	2	-	100	
Carroll	6	6.0	14	-	74	-	-	-	10	100	
Clay	7	3.2	6	-	90	1	-	3	-	100	
Hinds	10	8.2	3	-	91	-	1	3	2	100	
Kemper	5	1.7	21	-	70	7	-	2	-	100	
Lauderdale	4	1.8	46	-	38	16	-	-	-	100	
Leake	10	4.4	31	-	65	2	1	-	1	100	
Lowndes	8	7.1	6	-	89	3	-	1	1	100	
Madison	14	14.8	6	-	68	7	-	7	12	100	
Montgomery	6	4.1	6	-	2	88	1	-	3	*	100
Neshoba	6	6.3	41	1	-	45	11	1	1	*	100
Newton	7	1.3	24	-	39	37	-	-	-	100	
Noxubee	8	7.1	5	-	91	2	-	-	2	100	
Rankin	3	2.1	4	-	91	4	1	-	*	100	
Scott	5	2.7	16	-	67	17	-	-	-	100	
Webster	5	2.7	3	-	90	-	-	7	-	100	
Winston	5	4.1	19	-	71	9	-	1	-	100	
Total	117	81.6	13	*	*	75	5	*	4	3	100
District 4											
		Coker 100	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
Amite	7	2.2	1	1	-	95	1	-	2	-	100
Copiah	6	2.3	10	-	77	5	-	6	2	100	
Covington	7	4.6	22	-	47	29	-	2	-	100	
Jasper	5	1.8	20	-	70	7	-	-	3	100	
Jefferson Davis	5	5.4	19	-	72	8	-	-	1	100	
Jefferson	3	1.5	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	100	
Lawrence	3	1.9	3	-	90	3	-	4	-	100	
Lincoln	4	1.0	7	-	71	13	-	9	-	100	
Marion	7	2.2	15	-	60	15	-	3	7	100	
Pike	3	.9	9	-	79	8	-	4	-	100	
Simpson	5	2.2	14	-	66	16	1	3	-	100	
Smith	3	.5	13	-	79	8	-	-	-	100	
Walthall	4	1.8	4	-	87	3	1	5	-	100	
Wayne	3	.6	52	-	36	8	-	4	-	100	
All Other	11	5.9	21	-	*	67	9	2	1	*	100
Total	76	34.8	15	*	*	71	10	1	2	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
1955	558	*	68	-	17	6	-	5	4	100
1956	479	1	66	-	17	5	-	6	5	100
1957	375	3	66	-	16	6	-	5	4	100
1958	390	2	67	-	19	4	-	4	4	100
1959	-	2	64	3	20	3	1	3	4	100
District 2										
1955	55	-	28	-	40	2	-	6	24	100
1956	48	-	26	-	45	3	-	4	22	100
1957	29	-	25	-	52	2	-	2	19	100
1958	21	-	26	-	57	3	-	-	14	100
1959	-	-	20	-	67	1	-	1	11	100
State										
1955	613	*	65	-	19	6	-	5	5	100
1956	527	1	63	-	20	5	-	6	5	100
1957	404	3	63	-	19	6	-	4	5	100
1958	411	2	63	-	22	4	-	4	5	100
1959	-	2	62	2	23	3	1	3	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total
		1,000 bales		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
	Number											
District 1												
Carroll	14	14.7	-	52	-	46	-	-	-	2	-	100
Chester	8	7.1	-	95	-	-	-	2	-	3	-	100
Crockett	12	26.8	6	74	-	16	1	-	-	3	-	100
Dyer	17	24.9	17	43	13	3	5	1	16	2	-	100
Fayette	18	28.7	5	63	-	19	1	1	9	2	-	100
Gibson	22	40.4	1	52	-	42	-	-	-	5	-	100
Hardeman	14	18.3	-	77	-	16	-	-	3	4	-	100
Hardin	5	2.9	-	78	-	21	-	1	-	-	-	100
Haywood	20	36.8	3	85	-	8	1	-	-	3	-	100
Henderson	15	11.6	-	77	-	16	-	-	3	4	-	100
Lake	10	22.7	-	61	22	-	15	-	-	2	-	100
Lauderdale	20	32.8	1	59	4	22	7	1	3	3	-	100
McNairy	16	12.5	-	84	-	5	3	2	-	6	-	100
Madison	19	26.4	-	71	-	19	4	-	1	5	-	100
Obion	7	7.2	-	70	-	25	1	-	1	3	-	100
Shelby	19	28.5	-	49	1	19	-	5	13	13	-	100
Tipton	19	38.6	-	67	-	20	6	1	2	4	-	100
Weakley	5	5.9	-	6	-	89	-	-	4	1	-	100
All Other	.5	3.3	3	34	-	57	-	-	-	6	-	100
Total	265	390.1	2	64	3	20	3	1	3	4	-	100


District 2		Cobalt	Coker 124	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred & Half & Half	Pope	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number										
Giles	4	2.9	-	11	14	66	-	6	-	3	100
Lawrence	11	6.3	5	5	2	84	-	2	-	2	100
Lincoln	5	6.3	-	7	23	63	-	-	-	3	100
Rutherford	3	1.2	-	-	84	-	7	-	6	-	100
All Other	7	4.2	-	*	26	67	3	-	1	-	100
Total	30	20.9	2	5	20	67	1	1	1	2	100

Table 14. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1959

County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Auburn 56	Delfos	Delta-pine	DPL Smooth Leaf	Fox	Rex	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total
	Number	1,000 bales		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
County												
Butler	10	11.2	4	31	49	-	1	8	7	-	-	100
Dunklin	37	60.0	15	49	11	-	5	8	7	5	-	100
Mississippi	14	26.7	5	10	38	4	33	4	6	-	-	100
New Madrid	40	78.6	2	40	25	1	21	1	8	2	-	100
Pemiscot	32	75.7	-	34	38	3	12	4	8	1	-	100
Scott	9	14.9	6	11	12	3	52	3	-	13	-	100
Stoddard	12	17.9	-	31	7	3	35	2	17	5	-	100
All Other	1	.4	10	5	35	-	4	6	5	35	-	100
Total	155	285.4	5	35	26	2	18	4	9	1	-	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 15. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	FOX	Hibred and Half & Half	Rex	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales		Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1955	68	-	55	3	*	35	-	1	1	5	100
1956	48	2	59	7	*	27	-	1	1	3	100
1957	22	*	56	6	*	30	-	1	2	5	100
1958	17	4	58	11	*	21	1	-	*	5	100
1959	-	-	52	6	5	14	18	-	*	5	100
District 2											
1955	901	7	73	7	4	-	-	1	4	4	100
1956	802	13	73	5	4	-	-	*	3	2	100
1957	516	20	67	5	5	-	-	-	1	2	100
1958	515	16	60	6	5	-	6	-	4	3	100
1959	-	22	53	4	4	-	13	-	2	2	100
District 3											
1955	136	2	75	2	*	2	-	6	9	4	100
1956	92	2	70	2	1	2	-	8	10	5	100
1957	65	2	79	2	-	1	-	6	6	4	100
1958	49	3	85	1	1	1	*	2	3	4	100
1959	-	5	61	2	-	*	8	4	14	6	100
District 4											
1955	546	7	71	2	2	*	-	1	14	3	100
1956	457	18	66	2	2	*	-	*	8	4	100
1957	362	26	62	1	1	-	-	*	7	3	100
1958	343	25	66	*	1	-	2	-	3	3	100
1959	-	43	42	1	1	-	8	*	2	3	100
State											
1955	1,651	7	72	5	3	1	-	1	7	4	100
1956	1,399	13	69	4	3	1	-	1	5	4	100
1957	965	20	66	3	3	1	-	*	3	4	100
1958	924	18	63	4	3	*	4	*	3	5	100
1959	-	28	50	3	3	*	11	*	3	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 16. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Rex	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
Faulkner	4	3.1	55	6	-	28	7	-	4	100
Independence	3	.4	60	-	-	-	30	-	10	100
White	11	5.1	43	9	-	25	19	-	4	100
Yell	3	4.7	65	-	2	-	30	-	3	100
All other	8	4.1	45	11	20	9	9	*	6	100
Total	29	17.4	52	6	5	14	18	*	5	100
			Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
District 2										
Clay	21	29.8	59	6	22	1	6	-	6	100
Craighead	34	61.8	57	26	1	-	11	2	3	100
Crittenden	45	60.1	-	81	-	6	10	-	3	100
Cross	21	25.7	2	50	-	1	26	-	2	100
Greene	14	24.4	48	12	6	1	23	9	1	100
Jackson	20	34.7	32	9	14	-	42	-	3	100
Lawrence	10	13.1	4	24	48	-	14	5	5	100
Mississippi	65	124.4	8	69	-	9	9	5	-	100
Poinsett	26	52.9	22	68	-	-	7	1	2	100
Randolph	8	7.4	-	35	18	-	39	-	8	100
St. Francis	30	47.5	13	71	1	4	7	1	3	100
Woodruff	14	28.2	-	87	-	2	10	-	1	100
Total	308	515.0	22	53	4	4	13	2	2	100
			Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Rex	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
District 3										
Ashley	10	18.1	7	58	-	3	-	28	4	100
Bradley	3	.4	-	67	1	7	10	12	3	100
Clark	3	.8	-	25	-	47	20	-	8	100
Columbia	8	1.6	-	22	13	40	-	19	6	100
Drew	5	7.2	17	56	-	2	-	15	11	100
Hempstead	9	2.4	-	45	-	7	39	7	2	100
Lafayette	5	7.2	-	78	-	12	-	-	10	100
Little River	4	1.4	-	81	-	6	-	-	13	100
Miller	5	3.8	-	90	2	2	3	-	3	100
All Other	13	6.1	-	54	8	20	11	3	4	100
Total	65	49.0	5	61	2	8	4	14	6	100
			Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Rex	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
District 4										
Arkansas	6	6.0	5	72	6	2	5	8	2	100
Chicot	12	18.2	5	73	-	4	4	5	9	100
Desho	13	27.8	82	16	-	-	-	1	1	100
Jefferson	34	68.7	56	35	-	1	6	-	2	100
Lee	21	41.6	9	60	1	-	20	8	2	100
Lincoln	11	25.3	69	21	-	-	4	3	3	100
Lonoke	21	59.1	97	1	-	-	-	-	2	100
Monroe	12	31.4	-	82	1	3	8	2	4	100
Phillips	40	53.0	4	72	-	3	13	2	6	100
Prairie	3	4.5	26	54	10	-	9	1	-	100
Pulaski	13	7.4	47	8	2	-	38	2	3	100
Total	186	343.0	43	42	1	1	8	2	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 17. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1955	128	1	2	96	*	*	1	100
1956	103	1	*	96	*	*	3	100
1957	78	1	-	93	-	*	6	100
1958	72	-	-	95	-	-	5	100
1959	-	*	*	92	1	-	7	100
District 2								
1955	22	6	-	88	-	1	5	100
1956	15	6	-	86	-	*	8	100
1957	8	5	-	92	-	*	3	100
1958	3	5	-	87	-	-	8	100
1959	-	2	-	80	-	-	18	100
District 3								
1955	278	*	4	92	3	*	1	100
1956	286	*	2	95	2	*	1	100
1957	186	1	3	91	2	2	1	100
1958	153	2	1	92	1	2	2	100
1959	-	1	3	91	2	1	2	100
District 4								
1955	144	-	-	96	-	4	*	100
1956	166	*	-	90	2	7	1	100
1957	74	*	-	85	*	13	2	100
1958	65	1	-	87	-	11	1	100
1959	-	*	-	89	*	10	1	100
State								
1955	572	1	2	94	1	1	1	100
1956	570	1	1	94	1	2	1	100
1957	346	1	2	90	1	5	1	100
1958	293	1	1	92	1	3	2	100
1959	-	1	1	90	1	3	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.


1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 18. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1959.

District and Parish	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stardel	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
Bossier	8	13.5	-	-	-	80	3	16	1	100
Caddo	14	28.9	2	-	-	95	1	-	2	100
De Soto	3	1.7	-	-	-	99	-	-	1	100
Natchitoches	13	11.8	3	-	-	95	-	-	2	100
Rapides	12	9.7	-	-	-	97	-	-	3	100
Red River	5	4.3	-	6	2	87	-	2	3	100
All Other	2	2.5	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	100
Total	57	72.4	1	*	*	92	1	3	3	100
		Coker 100	Delta-pine	Empire	Plains	Rex	Rowden	Other 1/	Total	
District 2										
Glaiborne	4	1.0	3	92	-	-	-	5	100	
Webster	3	1.0	-	89	-	6	-	5	100	
All Other	5	.7	4	47	6	-	37	6	100	
Total	12	2.7	2	80	2	2	9	1	4	100
		Coker 100	Coker 124	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 3										
Catahoula	5	3.6	2	-	-	97	-	-	1	100
Concordia	6	5.1	1	-	-	89	-	7	3	100
East Carroll	12	17.2	-	11	24	51	-	4	10	100
Franklin	20	28.6	1	-	-	97	-	-	2	100
Madison	8	12.0	-	-	-	94	-	-	6	100
Morehouse	11	20.3	1	-	-	97	-	-	2	100
Ouachita	5	10.0	1	-	-	94	-	-	5	100
Richland	20	25.6	2	-	-	96	-	1	1	100
Tensas	7	14.9	1	-	-	97	-	-	2	100
West Carroll	13	12.0	1	-	-	94	-	3	2	100
All Other	2	3.3	3	-	-	85	10	-	2	100
Total	109	152.6	1	1	3	91	2	1	1	100
		Auburn 56	Coker 100	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Stardel	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 4										
Acadia	5	4.9	-	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Avoyelles	12	7.2	-	-	97	-	1	1	1	100
Evangeline	11	10.3	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Lafayette	12	10.4	-	-	96	-	-	4	-	100
Pointe Coupee	6	3.7	2	2	79	-	-	16	1	100
St. Landry	28	21.2	1	-	80	-	-	19	-	100
St. Martin	6	2.7	4	-	51	-	-	39	6	100
Washington	4	1.2	-	10	77	5	-	-	8	100
All Other	4	3.6	-	*	98	-	-	-	2	100
Total	88	65.2	1	*	89	*	*	10	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 19. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Acala	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
1955	311	*	*	16	45	11	14	-	1	-	13	100
1956	203	-	*	3	49	7	24	3	1	5	8	100
1957	214	*	*	1	49	6	17	7	*	9	11	100
1958	250	1	-	2	59	2	13	7	1	10	5	100
1959	-	5	*	*	54	2	10	8	*	16	5	100
District 2												
1955	71	-	28	*	7	*	1	-	52	-	12	100
1956	31	*	23	1	6	-	7	3	50	-	10	100
1957	16	*	27	2	6	*	4	2	49	-	10	100
1958	22	*	40	3	13	-	5	2	37	-	*	100
1959	-	-	34	2	6	-	3	6	42	*	5	100
District 3												
1955	66	3	31	11	16	2	5	6	19	-	7	100
1956	26	-	21	6	32	1	9	2	23	-	6	100
1957	29	*	35	8	25	1	3	5	19	-	4	100
1958	36	-	22	9	36	3	3	9	16	*	2	100
1959	-	2	17	7	35	4	2	13	15	2	3	100
State												
1955	448	*	8	13	36	8	11	1	10	-	13	100
1956	260	*	7	3	40	5	19	3	12	4	7	100
1957	259	*	7	3	41	5	14	6	8	7	9	100
1958	308	1	5	2	53	2	11	7	5	8	6	100
1959	-	5	5	1	48	2	8	9	5	13	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 20. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Acala	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Pay-master	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	—	Total
	Number	bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Beckham	13	26.0	-	28	8	1	1	-	59	3	-	100
Blaine	6	3.2	2	36	-	37	13	-	7	5	-	100
Caddo	17	20.7	1	55	-	8	29	-	-	7	-	100
Comanche	3	2.6	-	36	-	30	19	-	-	15	-	100
Cotton	3	5.5	-	15	-	3	73	-	5	4	-	100
Custer	5	6.8	-	85	3	2	-	-	5	5	-	100
Greer	10	18.6	-	57	2	4	6	-	26	5	-	100
Harmon	8	21.7	1	46	-	17	1	9	11	15	-	100
Jackson	17	51.1	19	44	-	24	1	-	8	4	-	100
Kiowa	13	22.6	3	82	1	8	1	-	3	2	-	100
Roger Mills	3	3.0	-	11	7	3	-	2	73	4	-	100
Tillman	13	39.9	6	67	1	3	20	-	-	3	-	100
Washita	12	26.8	-	63	2	-	-	-	31	4	-	100
All Other	2	2.0	-	48	5	10	-	5	27	5	-	100
Total	125	250.5	5	54	2	10	8	1	16	4	-	100
District 2												
		Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total	
Canadian	3	2.6	17	-	-	29	5	23	19	2	5	100
Hughes	3	1.1	19	-	3	-	-	1	73	4	4	100
Logan	3	.8	49	-	-	2	2	4	41	-	2	100
McIntosh	5	1.9	8	2	4	-	1	7	74	-	4	100
Muskogee	10	5.3	60	1	-	-	3	-	31	-	5	100
Pittsburg	3	1.3	26	-	-	-	2	5	62	-	5	100
Wagoner	4	2.3	42	-	-	-	4	5	44	-	5	100
All Other	13	6.8	27	1	5	8	3	13	39	-	4	100
Total	44	22.1	34	1	2	6	3	8	42	*	4	100
District 3												
		Acala	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Parrot	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
Bryan	5	5.2	16	9	-	13	6	-	44	9	3	100
Garvin	5	3.0	-	7	-	12	-	-	3	72	6	100
Grady	8	7.2	-	-	4	81	-	4	4	-	7	100
Jefferson	6	6.7	-	-	10	30	8	-	19	28	5	100
All Other	13	13.7	-	37	10	26	4	2	6	6	9	100
Total	37	35.8	2	17	7	35	4	2	13	15	5	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

**TEXAS
DISTRICTS FOR COTTON
QUALITY REPORTS**

SCALE - STATUTE MILES
0 20 40 60 80 100




Table 21. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1955-59.

District and State	Ginnings	Acala	American Egyptian	Blight Master	Delta-pine	Delfos	Empire	Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Pay-master	Qualia	Rowden	Stoneville	Storm King	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1																			
1955	1,392	1	-	-	-	2	3	-	-	32	7	11	23	*	-	-	21	100	
1956	1,655	3	-	-	-	2	3	-	-	53	5	11	11	-	1	-	3	8	
1957	1,572	4	-	-	2	-	1	3	-	47	4	6	17	-	-	-	2	14	
1958	1,999	4	-	-	1	-	1	3	2	51	2	9	9	*	-	-	2	11	
1959	-	6	-	3	-	1	*	10	50	*	2	12	1	-	-	2	4	9	
District 2																			
1955	522	-	-	-	-	9	*	-	-	20	15	12	4	3	1	-	-	36	
1956	273	2	-	-	-	7	1	-	-	28	9	17	2	1	-	-	20	100	
1957	476	2	-	-	-	4	*	-	-	25	6	21	5	*	-	-	23	14	
1958	536	2	-	-	-	2	*	-	-	28	4	17	2	*	-	-	4	31	
1959	-	3	-	1	-	2	*	1	28	4	10	2	*	-	-	3	40	6	
District 3																			
1955	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	22	5	12	-	17	1	100	
1956	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	33	8	17	-	11	2	28	
1957	15	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	26	11	13	1	-	1	35	
1958	11	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	46	15	7	4	-	-	28	
1959	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	*	-	-	40	12	2	*	-	7	14	
District 4																			
1955	628	*	-	-	-	4	*	-	-	32	1	11	*	17	20	*	-	15	
1956	350	*	-	-	-	8	*	-	-	49	*	11	9	14	*	*	9	100	
1957	411	1	-	-	-	5	*	-	-	54	*	12	6	*	1	-	10	100	
1958	418	1	*	-	-	3	*	-	-	56	*	17	6	2	*	1	11	100	
1959	-	*	*	-	-	2	*	-	-	64	*	13	8	1	*	-	9	100	
District 5																			
1955	229	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	57	1	-	1	3	-	5	11	1	19	
1956	155	3	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	61	2	3	2	*	4	4	20	100	
1957	137	-	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	59	1	6	2	*	7	4	21	100	
1958	96	1	-	-	-	2	*	-	-	46	2	11	3	*	3	3	24	100	
1959	-	*	*	-	-	1	*	-	-	63	1	8	2	*	8	3	13	100	
District 6																			
1955	278	80	13	-	-	1	*	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	*	-	1	5	
1956	299	93	4	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	*	-	2	100	
1957	283	85	12	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	*	-	2	100	
1958	301	85	10	-	-	1	*	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	*	-	2	100	
1959	-	87	11	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	-	2	100	
District 7																			
1955	26	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	43	-	*	-	-	6	5	-	44	
1956	7	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	4	4	4	-	7	5	-	14	
1957	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	56	5	5	5	-	10	1	-	16	
1958	29	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49	3	3	3	-	4	4	-	24	
1959	-	8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	34	*	*	*	*	3	3	-	15	
District 8																			
1955	222	*	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	15	5	-	25	*	9	*	7	14	
1956	212	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	23	17	3	15	*	7	9	10	100	
1957	231	2	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	15	22	2	24	*	11	5	7	9	
1958	265	-	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	18	16	2	28	*	8	7	5	12	
1959	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	20	14	3	28	*	8	4	3	11	
District 9																			
1955	220	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	15	13	5	25	*	9	*	8	100	
1956	153	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	23	17	3	15	*	7	13	2	5	
1957	147	1	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	15	22	2	24	*	11	1	1	6	
1958	151	1	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	18	16	2	28	*	8	7	1	12	
1959	-	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	20	14	3	28	*	8	4	1	5	
District 10																			
1955	423	1	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	66	8	-	1	*	3	*	4	3	
1956	442	2	-	-	-	3	*	-	-	61	9	-	2	*	2	2	1	5	
1957	313	1	-	-	-	1	*	-	-	56	6	-	1	*	1	1	1	6	
1958	437	5	-	-	-	6	*	-	-	7	53	6	2	*	1	*	17	14	
1959	-	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9	35	4	*	*	3	1	25	4	
State																			
1955	3,957	6	1	-	-	2	*	-	-	17	3	-	22	5	8	10	4	5	
1956	3,555	8	*	-	-	2	*	-	-	19	3	-	31	2	9	4	3	6	
1957	3,601	10	1	-	-	1	*	-	-	16	3	-	31	2	7	13	5	100	
1958	4,243	9	1	-	-	2	*	-	-	12	2	-	36	2	9	4	2	6	
1959	-	9	1	2	2	2	*	-	-	11	1	5	35	1	4	5	2	8	

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Acala 1517	Anton 99	Blight Master	Gregg	Lankart	Lockett	Northern Star	Pay-master	Storm King	Western Stormproof	Other 1/	Total	
1,000 Number bales															
District 1															
Bailey	21	90.9	1	8	-	21	53	3	7	1	-	-	6	100	
Briscoe	5	19.8	-	-	-	52	11	-	13	3	-	8	13	100	
Castro	15	54.3	-	-	-	52	10	-	1	30	-	-	7	100	
Cochran	13	57.3	-	1	2	1	79	-	4	-	-	1	12	100	
Crosby	20	127.7	-	-	2	-	65	1	3	21	-	2	6	100	
Dawson	25	136.0	24	-	-	-	30	-	-	7	11	28	100		
Deaf Smith	3	9.0	-	-	-	63	12	6	-	12	-	-	7	100	
Floyd	21	107.8	1	-	-	8	47	-	10	28	-	-	6	100	
Gaines	8	38.9	20	-	34	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	42	100	
Hale	33	200.6	-	2	-	17	62	-	-	9	2	-	8	100	
Hockley	17	188.1	1	3	5	-	56	-	-	30	-	-	5	100	
Howard	12	32.4	13	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	11	100	
Lamb	31	178.5	2	1	-	24	39	2	-	21	2	-	9	100	
Lubbock	40	246.0	-	6	1	-	82	-	-	4	1	-	6	100	
Lynn	26	165.1	2	1	14	-	57	-	-	-	6	11	9	100	
Martin	13	56.0	56	-	-	-	11	-	-	1	2	23	7	100	
Midland	3	16.6	50	-	-	-	15	-	6	-	-	24	5	100	
Parmer	14	60.6	-	-	-	55	21	-	4	8	-	-	12	100	
Swisher	12	49.2	-	-	2	42	18	-	-	30	-	-	8	100	
Terry	16	125.1	7	-	-	-	76	-	-	9	-	-	8	100	
Yoakum	6	30.5	11	-	17	-	45	-	-	-	-	-	27	100	
All Other	4	7.3	31	-	-	-	10	1	4	6	-	39	9	100	
Total	358	1997.7	6	2	3	10	50	-	2	12	2	4	9	100	
Acala 1517 Blight Master Delta-pine Gregg Lankart Lockett Northern Star Pay-master Storm King Western Stormproof Other 1/ Total															
District 2															
Baylor	3	9.9	12	-	5	-	36	-	-	-	-	41	6	100	
Childress	6	17.7	-	5	-	-	18	-	6	-	-	67	4	100	
Coleman	3	3.4	-	-	-	-	16	-	7	-	3	68	6	100	
Collingsworth	9	22.2	1	-	-	7	16	2	19	-	-	46	9	100	
Cottle	6	18.9	-	3	-	-	30	4	37	10	-	16	-	100	
Dickens	6	26.3	2	2	-	-	67	-	3	-	-	18	8	100	
Donley	4	6.6	-	-	-	-	10	10	14	16	-	37	13	100	
Fisher	9	27.5	-	-	-	-	4	-	1	-	-	85	10	100	
Poard	4	7.5	-	12	-	-	48	29	9	-	1	-	1	100	
Garza	6	20.1	-	-	-	-	62	-	-	-	-	33	5	100	
Hall	14	43.3	1	10	3	2	7	17	11	11	-	35	3	100	
Hardeman	7	18.2	5	-	-	3	39	12	21	-	-	14	6	100	
Haskell	12	58.9	5	-	5	-	11	-	30	-	-	15	28	6	100
Jones	16	46.6	-	-	-	-	63	-	2	-	5	21	9	100	
Knox	8	29.3	27	-	19	-	22	-	2	-	7	18	5	100	
Mitchell	9	20.3	-	-	-	-	11	-	4	-	-	79	6	100	
Motley	6	15.1	-	-	-	-	59	4	19	13	-	-	5	100	
Nolan	6	14.7	-	-	-	-	35	-	1	-	2	52	10	100	
Runnels	13	27.9	-	-	2	-	36	-	-	-	-	54	8	100	
Scurry	9	24.5	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	90	7	100	
Stonewall	3	5.0	-	-	-	-	56	-	2	-	-	34	8	100	
Taylor	6	13.3	-	-	-	-	25	-	5	3	-	61	6	100	
Wheeler	6	9.0	-	-	-	-	36	10	18	7	-	25	4	100	
Wilbarger	10	33.7	1	-	1	-	23	29	11	-	1	16	18	100	
All Other	9	16.5	1	-	-	*	27	4	21	1	-	41	5	100	
Total	190	536.4	3	1	2	1	28	4	10	2	3	40	6	100	
Blight Master Hibred & Half & Half Lankart Lockett Mebane Northern Star Parrott Storm King Western Prolific Western Stormproof Other 1/ Total															
District 3															
Clay	3	2.9	-	2	43	42	-	-	11	-	-	-	2	100	
All Other	13	8.5	1	1	38	5	4	3	6	8	10	17	7	100	
Total	16	11.4	1	1	40	12	3	2	7	7	8	14	5	100	
Acala Delta-pine Hibred & Half & Half Lankart Mebane Northern Star Qualla Howden Storm King Storm Master Other 1/ Total															
District 4															
Bell	22	15.8	-	4	-	62	-	7	14	2	-	-	11	100	
Bosque	6	1.2	4	-	-	38	-	10	24	-	-	-	24	100	
Collin	21	37.5	1	-	-	79	-	5	11	-	2	-	2	100	
Cooke	4	1.8	-	-	13	41	19	13	14	-	-	-	-	100	
Coryell	4	3.5	-	-	-	46	-	37	9	-	-	-	8	100	
Dallas	6	7.5	11	2	-	75	-	6	2	2	-	-	2	100	
Delta	10	13.2	-	3	-	60	-	19	4	3	3	-	8	100	
Denton	5	3.2	-	-	-	69	7	5	6	-	-	-	13	100	
Ellis	31	50.7	-	4	-	73	1	16	2	1	2	-	1	100	
Falls	17	16.7	-	24	-	45	-	14	3	-	-	-	14	100	
Fannin	16	25.9	-	1	-	72	-	6	11	-	-	-	10	100	
Grayson	7	11.0	-	-	-	41	-	16	43	-	-	-	-	100	
Hill	27	41.2	-	-	-	82	1	10	3	-	2	-	2	100	
Hunt	20	27.4	-	-	-	59	3	20	9	3	-	-	6	100	
Johnson	8	11.9	-	-	-	81	2	-	-	-	8	-	9	100	
Kaufman	13	16.2	-	-	1	75	5	2	11	-	-	-	6	100	
Lamar	15	17.1	-	6	-	32	2	44	1	-	6	-	9	100	
Limestone	8	8.6	-	2	-	6	44	6	26	10	1	-	5	100	
McLennan	29	28.7	-	-	-	66	-	11	5	-	-	9	9	100	
Milam	14	7.9	-	4	-	42	-	11	9	-	19	-	15	100	
Navarro	19	24.0	-	-	-	76	3	8	2	4	3	-	4	100	
Rockwall	5	7.8	-	-	-	52	5	-	25	-	13	-	5	100	
Tarrant	5	5.0	-	8	-	59	11	-	18	-	-	-	4	100	
Williamson	32	30.2	-	-	-	50	-	20	7	2	12	-	9	100	
All Other	2	4.2	*	-	-	93	-	2	-	-	-	-	5	100	
Total	346	418.2	*	2	*	64	1	13	8	1	3	1	7	100	

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1959 - continued.

District and County	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Acala	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Watson	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 5														
Bowie	4	4.9	-	95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	100
Brazos	7	12.9	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	100
Cherokee	3	.7	6	28	-	18	30	-	12	-	-	-	6	100
Freestone	4	3.2	-	4	-	2	19	-	18	40	-	-	17	100
Grimes	8	7.2	-	77	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	100
Harrison	5	2.7	37	59	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	100
Hopkins	5	3.9	-	-	-	7	68	10	-	5	12	-	5	100
Houston	7	5.5	-	28	-	-	12	-	-	34	-	8	18	100
Leon	3	2.4	-	60	-	15	-	-	-	2	15	-	8	100
Red River	6	6.0	-	14	-	-	55	-	9	9	-	-	13	100
Panola	3	1.0	-	50	4	33	-	4	-	-	4	-	5	100
Polk	3	1.0	-	43	-	-	-	5	-	-	2	-	50	100
Robertson	11	22.0	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	100
Rusk	3	2.3	-	74	-	16	-	2	-	-	2	-	6	100
Shelby	4	1.0	-	57	-	22	-	-	9	-	4	-	8	100
Smith	8	.8	-	19	-	31	-	2	13	-	2	-	33	100
Van Zandt	5	3.3	-	-	-	7	47	22	-	16	4	-	4	100
Waller	3	2.4	-	90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	100
All Other	22	12.6	-	45	6	2	6	4	2	17	12	3	3	100
Total	114	95.8	1	63	1	2	8	2	2	8	3	1	9	100
District 6														
		Acala 442	Acala 44	Acala 1517	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Mesa Acala	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total	
El Paso	23	82.1	1	1	65	-	-	*	*	33	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	9	34.1	-	-	95	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	100
Pecos	9	56.4	-	-	90	-	7	2	-	-	-	1	-	100
Reeves	22	112.1	-	-	95	1	-	-	-	3	*	1	-	100
All Other	4	16.2	-	-	99	1	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	67	300.9	*	*	86	*	1	1	*	11	*	1	-	100
District 7														
		Acala 1517	Delta-pine	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Storm King	Watson	Western Storm-proof	Other 1/	—	Total	
McCulloch	6	2.6	2	-	10	1	-	-	9	74	-	4	-	100
Tom Green	7	14.9	4	-	41	-	3	-	-	45	7	-	-	100
All Other	7	11.3	13	1	32	-	4	1	-	44	5	-	-	100
Total	20	28.8	8	*	34	*	3	*	1	9	39	5	-	100
District 8														
		Anton 99	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stoneville	Storm King	Other 1/	—	Total
Austin	14	9.1	-	-	56	-	5	-	6	17	-	-	16	100
Bastrop	3	2.1	17	-	49	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	7	100
Bee	4	3.6	-	-	-	12	63	-	-	1	-	19	5	100
Burleson	9	13.3	-	-	83	-	-	-	4	6	-	-	7	100
Caldwell	6	5.6	50	-	-	-	5	2	6	7	-	21	9	100
Colorado	3	5.8	-	7	49	7	-	-	8	24	-	-	5	100
De Witt	5	3.0	-	-	1	-	35	57	3	11	-	-	3	100
Fayette	11	8.6	3	-	13	7	27	-	13	8	10	-	19	100
Guadalupe	6	3.9	-	-	-	-	47	-	33	8	-	-	12	100
Hays	5	3.4	30	-	-	-	26	18	11	-	-	5	10	100
Karnes	7	5.2	-	-	3	49	17	-	6	-	18	7	100	
Lavaca	13	10.0	-	-	6	6	47	2	10	16	8	-	5	100
Lee	3	2.1	-	-	16	16	38	-	18	2	-	10	100	
Nueces	29	83.0	-	57	4	5	5	13	1	1	5	1	8	100
Refugio	4	9.9	-	25	4	1	42	23	-	-	-	-	5	100
San Patricio	22	73.5	-	9	2	-	66	6	-	-	1	11	5	100
Travis	12	7.0	-	-	10	-	26	6	16	8	-	-	34	100
Washington	16	8.7	-	12	36	5	-	-	23	6	-	-	18	100
All Other	6	7.2	-	-	-	6	13	1	7	16	-	-	57	100
Total	178	265.0	2	20	14	3	28	8	4	4	3	4	10	100
District 9														
		Acala 1517	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Rex	Rowden	Stoneville	Wacona	Other 1/	—	Total
Brazoria	6	10.7	-	-	92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	100
Calhoun	5	9.3	-	6	77	1	6	5	-	-	-	-	5	100
Fort Bend	18	40.5	2	-	91	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	5	100
Jackson	6	9.7	6	1	65	9	-	-	-	5	4	-	10	100
Matagorda	7	10.1	10	-	82	-	2	-	-	1	2	-	3	100
Victoria	8	12.5	-	6	13	9	50	7	4	-	-	5	6	100
Wharton	22	54.7	-	-	96	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	3	100
All Other	4	3.9	-	-	82	4	-	-	-	8	-	-	6	100
Total	76	151.4	2	1	82	2	5	1	*	1	1	*	5	100
District 10														
		Acala 1517	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Northern Star	Paymaster	Rex	Stoneville	Storm King	Other 1/	—	Total
Cameron	40	137.2	-	15	27	10	-	2	-	14	20	7	5	100
Hidalgo	39	178.8	10	11	31	2	-	2	-	8	27	2	7	100
Jim Wells	4	9.3	-	-	13	3	45	19	-	-	7	5	8	100
Live Oak	3	.7	-	4	10	-	21	-	-	-	5	60	100	
Starr	5	4.9	-	-	22	-	-	12	-	13	28	12	13	100
Willacy	19	86.8	-	2	46	-	-	1	-	3	40	6	2	100
Zavala	4	9.6	-	-	64	4	-	16	-	-	8	-	8	100
All Other	21	9.6	-	-	48	8	4	15	15	-	-	-	10	100
Total	135	436.9	4	9	35	4	1	3	1	8	25	4	6	100

* Less than 0.5 percent

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 23. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1955-59.

State and Year	Ginnings	Coker	Delfos	Delta- pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Plains	Rowden	Sealand and Coastland	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Virginia													
1955	11	99	-	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	11	98	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	7	98	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1958	8	97	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1959	-	95	-	*	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Florida													
1955	15	58	-	6	1	-	-	18	-	2	5	10	100
1956	9	63	-	3	2	-	-	14	-	2	-	16	100
1957	7	67	-	7	5	1	-	11	-	1	-	8	100
1958	6	55	-	2	14	-	-	13	-	2	-	14	100
1959	-	62	-	2	15	-	-	13	-	1	-	7	100
Kentucky													
1955	8	-	-	85	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	8	-	-	87	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	4	-	-	93	-	7	-	-	-	-	*	-	100
1958	4	-	-	83	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1959	-	-	2	82	-	13	-	-	-	-	2	1	100
Illinois													
1955	2	-	10	1	12	56	-	-	-	-	11	10	100
1956	3	-	4	4	35	40	-	-	-	-	17	-	100
1957	1	-	11	2	-	74	-	-	-	-	*	13	100
1958	1	-	5	-	43	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1959	-	-	-	-	38	62	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Missouri													
1955	420	*	21	35	*	21	4	-	1	-	16	2	100
1956	447	*	29	33	*	21	2	-	1	-	13	1	100
1957	183	1	32	29	-	22	1	-	-	-	12	3	100
1958	285	1	36	30	-	22	*	-	-	-	8	3	100
1959	-	-	35	26	-	18	-	-	-	-	7	14	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 24. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1955-59.

State and Year	Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Acala 1517-C	Deltapine	Lankart	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
1955	724	4	86	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	3	100
1956	823	6	83	4	-	-	-	-	4	-	3	100
1957	754	4	81	4	-	-	-	-	6	-	5	100
1958	737	7	80	5	*	-	-	-	6	-	2	100
1959	-	6	81	7	*	-	-	-	5	-	1	100
California												
1955	1,221	99	1	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1956	1,466	97	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1957	1,557	96	1	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1958	1,624	94	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1959	-	92	*	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
New Mexico												
1955	248	-	-	71	2	*	4	1	3	3	16	100
1956	283	-	-	79	1	3	3	1	1	1	11	100
1957	223	-	-	80	*	4	4	-	2	1	9	100
1958	282	-	-	79	*	4	1	*	4	*	12	100
1959	-	-	-	86	*	4	1	*	3	*	6	100
Texas - District 6												
1955	278	-	-	80	1	-	-	3	10	1	5	100
1956	299	-	-	93	*	-	*	1	3	1	2	100
1957	283	-	-	85	*	-	-	1	11	1	2	100
1958	301	-	-	85	1	-	*	*	10	1	3	100
1959	-	*	*	86	*	-	-	*	11	*	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.

Table 25. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and counties, crop of 1959.

States and Counties	Gins	1958 Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 33	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Acala 124-68	Deltapine	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona											
Cochise	6	27.1	-	-	-	99	-	-	-	1	100
Graham	5	28.7	-	-	5	49	-	-	46	-	100
Maricopa	58	304.2	7	1	87	-	*	*	5	-	100
Pima	11	51.2	*	-	77	17	-	*	6	-	100
Pinal	59	259.6	7	*	88	*	2	*	3	-	100
Yuma	11	61.9	3	-	96	-	-	1	-	-	100
All Other	2	4.0	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	152	736.7	6	*	81	7	1	*	5	*	100
		Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Delta-pine	Deltapine Smooth Leaf	—	—	—	—	Other 1/	Total
California											
Fresno	75	419.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	16	122.1	3	3	88	6	-	-	-	-	100
Kern	84	438.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings	33	197.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	15	78.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	11	54.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	7	49.6	68	7	23	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare	50	263.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	291	1,623.6	92	*	7	1	-	-	-	-	100
		Acala 1517C	Dixie King	Empire	Gregg	Lankart	Paymaster	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total	
New Mexico											
Chaves	13	49.0	94	-	5	-	-	-	1	-	100
Dona Ana	19	75.3	84	-	-	-	-	13	3	-	100
Eddy	12	59.7	98	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	100
Lea	11	36.6	66	17	5	-	1	3	8	-	100
Luna	6	28.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Roosevelt	4	14.3	3	2	3	11	75	4	2	-	100
All Other	12	19.2	88	-	-	4	-	2	6	-	100
Total	77	282.2	86	2	2	1	4	1	1	-	100
		Acala 442	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Dixie King	Mesa Acala	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total	
Texas											
District 6											
El Paso	23	82.1	1	1	65	-	*	33	*	-	100
Hudspeth	9	34.1	-	-	95	-	-	5	-	-	100
Pecos	9	56.4	-	-	90	-	7	2	1	-	100
Reeves	22	112.1	-	-	95	1	-	3	1	-	100
All Other	4	16.2	-	-	99	1	-	*	-	-	100
Total	67	300.9	*	*	86	*	1	1	11	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes small acreages planted to varieties other than those specified.



1022919563

LIBRARY
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE